Table 2: 2008 Journals Survey

2008	4.40	A DEFECT	G.	G.D.	G.T.	GO.	G.D.	GTT.	appa	****	GED	TTG GD	T.00	MOTIG	Syllecta		TIED C	mom + T G
	AJP ₂	ARETH ₃	CA ₄	CB 5	CJ	CO 6	CP ₇	CW ₈	GRBS	HEL ₉	SEP	HSCP ₁₀	ICS 11	MOUS	Classica ₁₂	TAPPA	VERG	TOTALS
# of submissions	10	10	1.0	0	20	4		1.7	21.5	2.4	15.40	_		0	1.6	21	2	210.02
by women	19	10	16	8	20	4	11	17	21.5	24	15.43	5		8	16	21	3	218.93
# of submissions	22	10	25	10	25	0	20	22	10.5	10	10.55	1.0		1.4	-	27	2	200.07
by men	33	10	25	12	25	9	29	23	43.5	10	12.57	16		14	7	27	2	298.07
# of submissions	0	0	_			0		1	0	0	0			0		0	0	1
of	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	1
unknown gender																		
# of acceptances	1	2	2	_	0	4	2	10	12.5		4.42	1		_	10	_	2	05.02
for women	1	3	3	5	8	4	3	12	13.5	6	4.43	1		5	10	5	2	85.93
# of acceptances	4	9	4	7	0	7	2	1.0	21.5	-	4.57	0		0	4	0	2	121.07
for men	4	9	4	/	8	/	3	16	21.5	5	4.57	9		8	4	9	2	121.07
# of acceptances	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
for	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	0		U	0	U	U	U
unknown gender																		
Acceptance rate for women	5%	30%	19%	63%	40%	100%	27%	71%	63%	25%	29%	20%		63%	63%	29%	66%	39%
Acceptance rate	3%	30%	19%	03%	40%	100%	2170	/ 1 %	03%	23%	29%	20%		03%	03%	29%	00%	39%
for men	12%	90%	16%	58%	32%	78%	10%	70%	49%	50%	36%	56%		57%	57%	33%	100%	41%
% total	1270	90%	1070	3670	3270	7870	1070	7070	4770	3070	3070	3070		3170	3170	3370	10070	4170
submissions	37%	50%	39%	40%	44%	31%	28%	43%	33%	71%	55%	24%		36%	70%	44%	60%	42%
by women	3770	30%	3970	4070	4470	3170	2070	4370	3370	/ 1 70	3370	2470		3070	7070	44 70	0070	4270
% total																		
submissions	63%	50%	61%	60%	56%	69%	72%	57%	67%	29%	45%	76%		64%	30%	56%	40%	58%
by men	0370	3070	0170	0070	3070	07/0	1270	3170	0770	2770	7370	7070		0+70	3070	3070	7070	3670
% total accepted																		
by women	20%	25%	43%	63%	40%	36%	27%	43%	39%	55%	49%	10%		38%	71%	36%	50%	42%
% total accepted	2070	20 70	1070	0070	.070	2070	27,70	1070	2770	0070	.,,,	1070		2070	, 1,0	2070	2070	,
by men	80%	75%	57%	58%	32%	64%	10%	57%	61%	45%	51%	90%		62%	29%	64%	50%	58%
# reviews by	0070	,,,,,																
women	10	N/A	N/A	20	N/A	12	7	9	N/A	1	N/A	N/A		9	N/A	N/A	3	71
# reviews by men	6	N/A	N/A	36	N/A	14	4	26	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		18	N/A	N/A	5	109
% reviews by																		
women	63%	N/A	N/A	36%	N/A	46%	64%	26%	NA	100%	N/A	N/A		33%	N/A	N/A	38%	39%
% reviews by	37%	N/A	N/A	64%	N/A	54%	36%	74%	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		67%	N/A	N/A	62%	61%
men																		
# referees	62	14	44	26	78	23	67	49	52	41	60	21		31	19	84	10	681
# women referees	21	10	25	14	21	12	20	24	10	27	22	6		17	5	39	6	279
% of referees																		
who	34%	71%	57%	54%	27%	52%	30%	49%	19%	66%	37%	29%		55%	26%	46%	60%	41%
are women																		

Table 2: 2008 Journals Survey

# of editorial board members	17	9	9	7	9	18	15	7	7	13	17	4	9	9	N/A	12	162
# women on																	
editorial board	9	3	3	3	3	10	6	5	2	9	7	2	3	5	N/A	2	72
# of minorities on																	
editorial board	0	0	0	0	0	1	N/A	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	N/A	0	3
% editorial board																	
women	53%	25%	33%	43%	33%	56%	67%	71%	29%	69%	41%	50%	33%	45%	N/A	17%	44%
% editorial board																	
ethnic minorities	0	0	0	0	0	5%	N/A	0	0	0	0	25%	0	11%	N/A	0	2%
Editor is a woman	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	7Yes/9No
Editor belongs to																	
a minority group	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	16 No
Policy on																	13 REQ
anonymous													Not			Not	2 PREF
submissions	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	PREF	REQ	REQ	PREF	REQ	REQ	REQ	defined	REQ	REQ	defined	1undefined
Policy on			ì	,	,			ì		,							
anonymous	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	16 REQ
referees	`	`	`	`	`	`	`	`	`	`	`					\	`
Number of																	1.81
external referees	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	Average

- 1. Phoenix did not return the survey for 2008 and Illinois Classical Studies has no data for 2008 so this table includes information from 16 journals.
- 2. AJP uses two referees in all but the most unusual cases.
- 3. The *Arethusa* editor-in-chief position is shared by a woman and a man.
- 4. Classical Antiquity sometimes uses one "external" referee and one editor as the two readers, although this is not their usual practice.
- 5. The Classical Bulletin officially became a Xavier University publication in 2008. At that time, the editorial board was completely changed.
- 6. Some of the referees for *Classical Outlook* read more than one article.
- 7. Classical Philology does not solicit information on ethnic/racial minority groups from the members of their editorial board.
- 8. Submission of articles [Item 1] for *Classical World* includes all articles submitted in calendar year 2008. Acceptance of Articles [Item 2] includes all articles accepted in 2008 and is not a subset of Item 1. They most often are articles that were originally submitted in 2007 or, in some cases, even earlier. Item 5 refers to the Editorial Board as constituted for the last issue of the calendar year.
- 9. Submission of Articles and Acceptance of Articles in *Helios* includes papers from two proposed special issues which were reviewed by the Editorial Board. Service as Referees includes review by the Editorial Board along with one outside reviewer. The inside front cover of the journal specifically states that the journal "especially welcomes" articles that focus on feminist theory and gender.
- 10. Harvard Studies in Classical Philology normally uses one external referee for each submission but will occasionally use two.
- 11. *Illinois Classical Studies* is not published on a regular schedule. Not every volume consists of regular submissions; some contain conference proceedings which are treated differently. It was agreed that since meaningful statistics cannot be submitted for any given year, statistics submitted are on the most recent issue, in this case, ICS 31-32 [2006-2007] which is recorded with the 2007 data.
- 12. Syllecta Classica usually uses only one external reviewer for each submission.