

Table 2: 2001 Journals Survey

2001 Journals Survey	AJAH¹	AJP	ARETH²	CA³	CB	CJ	CO⁴	CP	CW⁵	GRBS	HEL	HESP	HSCP	ICS	Mouseion (formerly ECHOS)	PHOEN	Syllecta Classica⁶	TAPA⁷	VERG⁸	TOTALS
# of submissions by women	2	25	12	19.5	6	14	10	11	11	13	16	6.93	6	2	NAV	16	9.5	7	4	190.93
# of submissions by men	6	54	10	22.5	19	33	10	47	19	19	8	18.06	32	15	NAV	34	11.5	29	4	391.06
# of submissions of unknown gender	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	NAV	0	0	0	0	4
# of acceptances for women	2	10	5	4	5	7	5	4	5	6	7	4.6	2	2	7	2	2.5	3	4	87.1
# of acceptances for men	3	15	7	5	12	9	4	20	8	16	4	9.4	15	15	7	12	5.5	7	4	177.9
# of acceptances of unknown gender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Acceptance rate for women	100%	40%	42%	21%	83%	50%	50%	36%	45%	46%	44%	66%	33%	100%	NAV	13%	26%	43%	100%	46%
Acceptance rate for men	50%	28%	70%	22%	63%	27%	40%	43%	42%	84%	50%	52%	47%	100%	NAV	35%	48%	24%	100%	45%
% of total submissions by women	25%	32%	55%	45%	24%	30%	50%	19%	37%	41%	67%	28%	15%	12%	NAV	32%	45%	19%	50%	33%
% of total submissions by men	75%	68%	45%	52%	76%	70%	50%	81%	63%	59%	33%	72%	78%	88%	NAV	68%	55%	81%	50%	67%
% of total accepted by women	40%	40%	42%	44%	29%	44%	56%	17%	38%	27%	64%	33%	12%	12%	50%	14%	31%	30%	50%	33%
% of total accepted by men	60%	60%	58%	56%	71%	56%	44%	83%	62%	73%	36%	67%	88%	88%	50%	86%	69%	70%	50%	67%
# of reviews by women	0	7	NA	NA	1	5	23	3	22	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	6	12	NA	NA	0	79
# of reviews by men	2	21	NA	NA	16	20	32	13	41	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	19	31	NA	NA	0	195
% reviews by women	0%	25%	NA	NA	6%	20%	42%	19%	35%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	24%	28%	NA	NA	0%	29%
% reviews by men	100%	75%	NA	NA	94%	80%	58%	81%	65%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	76%	72%	NA	NA	0%	71%
# of referees	NAV	127	7	48	19	60	32	92	26	10	37	54	NAV	6	NAV	77	15	60	2	672
# of women referees	NAV	50	3	26	8	33	11	22	13	2	24	15	NAV	2	NAV	24	6	22	1	262
% of referees who are women	NAV	39%	43%	54%	42%	55%	34%	24%	50%	20%	65%	28%	NAV	33%	NAV	31%	40%	37%	50%	39%
# of editorial board members	5	16	6	9	23	8	16	29	12	3	13	8	4	8	2	21	8	1	13	205
# of women on editorial board	1	8	2	4	5	3	7	10	5	0	8	4	0	2	0	8	3	1	4	75
# of minorities on editorial board	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	NAV	0	0	0	0	0	0	NA	2	0	0	5
% editorial board women	20%	50%	33%	44%	22%	38%	44%	34%	42%	0%	62%	50%	0%	25%	0%	38%	38%	100%	31%	37%
% editorial board ethnic minorities	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	6%	3%	NAV	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	NA	25%	0%	0%	2.44%

2001 Journals Survey	AJAH ¹	AJP	ARETH ²	CA ³	CB	CJ	CO ⁴	CP	CW ⁵	GRBS	HEL	HESP	HSCP	ICS	Mouseion (formerly ECHOS)	PHOEN	Syllecta Classica ⁶	TAPA ⁷	VERG ⁸	TOTALS
Editor is a woman	no	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	yes	no	6 yes/13 no
Editor belongs to a minority group	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	0 yes/19 no
Policy on anonymous submissions	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	AUTH	REQ	REQ	PREF	PREF	REQ	REQ	NAV	REQ	Undefined	14 REQ, 2 PREF, 1 AUTH, 1 Undefined, 1 NAV
Policy on anonymous referees	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	AUTH	REQ	REQ	PREF	PREF	PREF	REQ	REQ	REQ	Undefined	14 REQ, 3 PREF, 1 AUTH, 1 Undefined
Initial number of referees	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	1.95 AV
# of people reading each submission	3	2 or 3	2 or 3	2 or 3	3	3	4	3	4	3	2	4	2 to 5	1	4	3	3	3 or more	3	2.97 AV
Policy on accepting articles on women & minority groups	no	no	yes	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	3 yes/16 no

Notes:

1. *AJH* does not disclose information regarding its referees.
2. *Arethusa* did not accept submissions after May 2001 because of a backlog and the editor's appointment as Associate Dean; thus the numbers are not representative.
3. *Classical Antiquity* encourages submissions from a wide range of different approaches and methodologies and has an active policy of inclusiveness in recruiting reviewers and referees.
4. *Classical Outlook* consistently aims to include members of all groups in every facet of the journal's operation.
5. *Classical World* does not have a traditional editorial board; included here are the editor, associate and assistant editors, managing editor, and the subeditors of various departments, only some of whom are involved in evaluation of submissions.
6. *Syllecta Classica* appears for the first time in the journal surveys for 2001.
7. The figures on articles for *TAPA* do not include 5 articles by men that were held over for the next volume, nor do they include the Presidential Address, two pieces in the *Paragraphoi* section, and the 4 papers from the Presidential Panel, which did not go through the standard refereeing process. Of the 17 items in Volume 131, 10 are by men and 7 by women.
8. Volume 47 (2001) of *Vergilius* was a special issue of conference proceedings. All the papers were invited and refereed as a group; they were revised, but none were rejected.