

**Table 1: 2002 Journals Survey<sup>1</sup>**

	AJAH <sup>2</sup>	AJP	ARETH <sup>3</sup>	CA	CB <sup>4</sup>	CJ	CO <sup>5</sup>	CP	CW <sup>6</sup>	GRBS	HEL	HESP	HSCP <sup>7</sup>	ICS <sup>8</sup>	PHOEN <sup>9</sup>	Syllecta Classica <sup>10</sup>	TAPA <sup>11</sup>	VERG	TOTALS
# of submissions by women	3	23	10	17	18	9	7	16	19	10	17	19.33	4	3	13.5	21	12	6	227.83
# of submissions by men	7	59	11	29	15	28	10	52	35	46	10	22.66	11	14	25.5	19	18	7	419.16
# of submissions of unknown gender	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
# of acceptances for women	3	6	4	2	5	4	4	6	9	7	6	17.33	1	3	2.5	9	1	4	93.83
# of acceptances for men	3	14	5	5	6	12	4	18	18	22	3	12.66	5	14	8.5	7	6	4	167.16
# of acceptances of unknown gender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Acceptance rate for women	100%	26%	40%	12%	28%	44%	57%	38%	47%	70%	35%	90%	25%	100%	19%	43%	8%	67%	41%
Acceptance rate for men	43%	24%	45%	17%	40%	43%	40%	35%	51%	48%	30%	56%	45%	100%	33%	37%	33%	57%	40%
% of total submissions by women	30%	28%	48%	36%	55%	24%	41%	23%	35%	18%	63%	46%	27%	18%	35%	52.5%	40%	46%	35%
% of total submissions by men	70%	72%	52%	62%	45%	76%	59%	74%	65%	82%	37%	54%	73%	82%	65%	47.5%	60%	54%	64.5%
% of total accepted by women	50%	30%	44%	29%	45%	25%	50%	25%	33%	24%	67%	58%	17%	18%	23%	56%	14%	50%	36%
% of total accepted by men	50%	70%	56%	71%	55%	75%	50%	75%	67%	76%	33%	42%	83%	82%	77%	44%	86%	50%	64%
# of reviews by women	NA	13	NA	NA	7	9	25	5	11	NA	2	NA	NA	NA	14	NA	NA	3	89
# of reviews by men	NA	12	NA	NA	27	17	31	6	31	NA	0	NA	NA	NA	31	NA	NA	2	157
% reviews by women	NA	52%	NA	NA	21%	35%	45%	45%	26%	NA	100%	NA	NA	NA	31%	NA	NA	60%	36%
% reviews by men	NA	48%	NA	NA	79%	65%	55%	55%	74%	NA	0%	NA	NA	NA	69%	NA	NA	40%	64%
# of referees	NAV	119	18	38	69	48	18	106	47	11	49	69	16	5	80	25	48	8	774
# of women referees	NAV	49	10	18	42	22	7	36	13	1	31	25	5	0	26	10	11	3	309
% of referees who are women	NAV	41%	56%	47%	61%	46%	39%	34%	28%	9%	63%	36%	31%	0%	33%	40%	23%	38%	40%
# of editorial board members	5	16	7	8	23	8	17	15	12	3	12	8	4	5	21	8	1	13	186
# of women on editorial board	1	9	3	3	5	3	8	6	5	0	8	6	0	0	9	3	1	4	74
# of minorities on editorial board	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	NAV	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	5

	AJAH <sup>2</sup>	AJP	ARETH <sup>3</sup>	CA	CB <sup>4</sup>	CJ	CO <sup>5</sup>	CP	CW <sup>6</sup>	GRBS	HEL	HESP	HSCP <sup>7</sup>	ICS <sup>8</sup>	PHOEN <sup>9</sup>	Syllecta Classica <sup>10</sup>	TAPA <sup>11</sup>	VERG	TOTALS
% editorial board women	20%	56%	43%	38%	22%	38%	47%	40%	42%	0%	67%	75%	0%	0%	43%	38%	100%	31%	40%
% editorial board ethnic minorities	0%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	6%	7%	NAV	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	3%
Editor is a woman	no	yes	yes	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	yes	no	no	no	no	yes	no	6 yes/12 no
Editor belongs to a minority group	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	no	0 yes/18 no
Policy on anonymous submissions	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	Not defined	REQ	REQ	AUTH	REQ	REQ	PREF	REQ	REQ	Not defined	REQ	REQ	14 REQ, 1 PREF, 1 AUTH, 2 Undefined
Policy on anonymous referees	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	AUTH	REQ	REQ	AUTH	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	REQ	16 REQ, 2 AUTH
Number of external referees	2	2 - 3	1 - 2	1 - 2	2	2	3	2	2	1	2	2	see note	1	2	1	2	2	1.85 AV

## Notes:

1. *Mouseion* did not return the survey for 2002, so this table includes information on 18 journals.
2. *AJH* does not disclose information regarding its referees.
3. *Arethusa* returned submissions from May 2001 until early 2002 because of the editor's appointment as Associate Dean; thus the numbers are not representative. Most submissions are sent to 2 referees, but some are sent to 1 referee and a member of the editorial board.
4. *Classical Bulletin* frequently tries to find 3 external referees, but never less than 2 for regular articles. For *Quae Supersunt*, which publishes non-research articles, *CB* accepts 1 referee.
5. Figures for *Classical Outlook* cover the period from July 1, 2002 - June 30, 2003.
6. *Classical World* does not have a traditional editorial board; included here are the editor, associate and assistant editors, managing editor, and the subeditors of various departments, only some of whom are involved in evaluation of submissions.
7. *Harvard Studies in Classical Philology* reports that some submissions are externally reviewed, some internally, some both. Of the 2002 submissions, decisions on 8 are still pending (3 women, 5 men).
8. Numbers for *ICS* refer to Volume 27-28, covering 2002-2003; this volume was a *Gedenkschrift* and all contributions were solicited.
9. As a Canadian journal, *Phoenix* strives for francophone representation on its editorial board, as well as in its articles and reviews. In May 2003, a female took over as editor of the journal. Of the 2002 submissions, 2 were withdrawn (1 female, 1 male).
10. The numbers for *Syllecta Classica* include submissions sent directly to the guest editors of the journal's 2003 special issue, but not submissions sent to the journal and returned to their authors as the special issue was processed.
11. *TAPA* 132 (2002) was a short transitional volume (216 pp.). The figures for articles in *TAPA* do not include the Presidential Address, submissions to *Paragraphoi*, or the papers from the Vice-Presidential Panel, none of which went through the standard refereeing process.