Table 2: 2008 Journals Survey ${ }_{1}$

| 2008 | $\mathbf{A J P}_{2}$ | ARETH3 | $\mathrm{CA}_{4}$ | CB5 | CJ | $\mathrm{CO}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{CP}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{CW}_{8}$ | GRBS | HEL9 | SEP | $\mathrm{HSCP}_{10}$ | $\mathrm{ICS}_{11}$ | MOUS | Syllecta Classica12 | TAPPA | VERG | TOTALS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# of submissions by women | 19 | 10 | 16 | 8 | 20 | 4 | 11 | 17 | 21.5 | 24 | 15.43 | 5 |  | 8 | 16 | 21 | 3 | 218.93 |
| \# of submissions by men | 33 | 10 | 25 | 12 | 25 | 9 | 29 | 23 | 43.5 | 10 | 12.57 | 16 |  | 14 | 7 | 27 | 2 | 298.07 |
| \# of submissions of unknown gender | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \# of acceptances for women | 1 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 12 | 13.5 | 6 | 4.43 | 1 |  | 5 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 85.93 |
| \# of acceptances for men | 4 | 9 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 16 | 21.5 | 5 | 4.57 | 9 |  | 8 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 121.07 |
| \# of acceptances for <br> unknown gender | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acceptance rate for women | 5\% | 30\% | 19\% | 63\% | 40\% | 100\% | 27\% | 71\% | 63\% | 25\% | 29\% | 20\% |  | 63\% | 63\% | 29\% | 66\% | 39\% |
| Acceptance rate for men | 12\% | 90\% | 16\% | 58\% | 32\% | 78\% | 10\% | 70\% | 49\% | 50\% | 36\% | 56\% |  | 57\% | 57\% | 33\% | 100\% | 41\% |
| \% total submissions by women | 37\% | 50\% | 39\% | 40\% | 44\% | 31\% | 28\% | 43\% | 33\% | 71\% | 55\% | 24\% |  | 36\% | 70\% | 44\% | 60\% | 42\% |
| \% total submissions by men | 63\% | 50\% | 61\% | 60\% | 56\% | 69\% | 72\% | 57\% | 67\% | 29\% | 45\% | 76\% |  | 64\% | 30\% | 56\% | 40\% | 58\% |
| \% total accepted by women | 20\% | 25\% | 43\% | 63\% | 40\% | 36\% | 27\% | 43\% | 39\% | 55\% | 49\% | 10\% |  | 38\% | 71\% | 36\% | 50\% | 42\% |
| \% total accepted by men | 80\% | 75\% | 57\% | 58\% | 32\% | 64\% | 10\% | 57\% | 61\% | 45\% | 51\% | 90\% |  | 62\% | 29\% | 64\% | 50\% | 58\% |
| \# reviews by women | 10 | N/A | N/A | 20 | N/A | 12 | 7 | 9 | N/A | 1 | N/A | N/A |  | 9 | N/A | N/A | 3 | 71 |
| \# reviews by men | 6 | N/A | N/A | 36 | N/A | 14 | 4 | 26 | N/A | 0 | N/A | N/A |  | 18 | N/A | N/A | 5 | 109 |
| \% reviews by women | 63\% | N/A | N/A | 36\% | N/A | 46\% | 64\% | 26\% | NA | 100\% | N/A | N/A |  | 33\% | N/A | N/A | 38\% | 39\% |
| \% reviews by men | 37\% | N/A | N/A | 64\% | N/A | 54\% | 36\% | 74\% | N/A | 0 | N/A | N/A |  | 67\% | N/A | N/A | 62\% | 61\% |
| \# referees | 62 | 14 | 44 | 26 | 78 | 23 | 67 | 49 | 52 | 41 | 60 | 21 |  | 31 | 19 | 84 | 10 | 681 |
| \# women referees | 21 | 10 | 25 | 14 | 21 | 12 | 20 | 24 | 10 | 27 | 22 | 6 |  | 17 | 5 | 39 | 6 | 279 |
| \% of referees who are women | 34\% | 71\% | 57\% | 54\% | 27\% | 52\% | 30\% | 49\% | 19\% | 66\% | 37\% | 29\% |  | 55\% | 26\% | 46\% | 60\% | 41\% |

Table 2: 2008 Journals Survey ${ }_{1}$

| \# of editorial board members | 17 | 9 | 9 | 7 | 9 | 18 | 15 | 7 | 7 | 13 | 17 | 4 | 9 | 9 | N/A | 12 | 162 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \# women on editorial board | 9 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 5 | N/A | 2 | 72 |
| \# of minorities on editorial board | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | N/A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | N/A | 0 | 3 |
| \% editorial board women | 53\% | 25\% | 33\% | 43\% | 33\% | 56\% | 67\% | 71\% | 29\% | 69\% | 41\% | 50\% | 33\% | 45\% | N/A | 17\% | 44\% |
| \% editorial board ethnic minorities | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5\% | N/A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25\% | 0 | 11\% | N/A | 0 | 2\% |
| Editor is a woman | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | 7Yes/ 9No |
| Editor belongs to a minority group | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | 16 No |
| Policy on anonymous submissions | REQ | REQ | REQ | REQ | REQ | PREF | REQ | REQ | PREF | REQ | REQ | REQ | Not defined | REQ | REQ | Not defined | $\begin{gathered} 13 \text { REQ } \\ 2 \text { PREF } \\ \text { 1undefined } \end{gathered}$ |
| Policy on anonymous referees | REQ | REQ | REQ | REQ | REQ | REQ | REQ | REQ | REQ | REQ | REQ | REQ | REQ | REQ | REQ | REQ | 16 REQ |
| Number of external referees | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 1.81 \\ \text { Average } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

1. Phoenix did not return the survey for 2008 and Illinois Classical Studies has no data for 2008 so this table includes information from 16 journals.
2. AJP uses two referees in all but the most unusual cases.
3. The Arethusa editor-in-chief position is shared by a woman and a man.
4. Classical Antiquity sometimes uses one "external" referee and one editor as the two readers, although this is not their usual practice.
5. The Classical Bulletin officially became a Xavier University publication in 2008. At that time, the editorial board was completely changed.
6. Some of the referees for Classical Outlook read more than one article.
7. Classical Philology does not solicit information on ethnic/racial minority groups from the members of their editorial board.
8. Submission of articles [Item 1] for Classical World includes all articles submitted in calendar year 2008. Acceptance of Articles [Item 2] includes all articles accepted in 2008 and is not a subset of Item 1. They most often are articles that were originally submitted in 2007 or, in some cases, even earlier. Item 5 refers to the Editorial Board as constituted for the last issue of the calendar year.
9. Submission of Articles and Acceptance of Articles in Helios includes papers from two proposed special issues which were reviewed by the Editorial Board. Service as Referees includes review by the Editorial Board along with one outside reviewer. The inside front cover of the journal specifically states that the journal "especially welcomes" articles that focus on feminist theory and gender.
10. Harvard Studies in Classical Philology normally uses one external referee for each submission but will occasionally use two.
11. Illinois Classical Studies is not published on a regular schedule. Not every volume consists of regular submissions; some contain conference proceedings which are treated differently. It was agreed that since meaningful statistics cannot be submitted for any given year, statistics submitted are on the most recent issue, in this case, ICS $31-32$ [2006-2007] which is recorded with the 2007 data.
12. Syllecta Classica usually uses only one external reviewer for each submission.
